1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS		
2	HOUSTON DIVISION		
3	ETHLOKIA PLUMBER . C.A. NO. H-20-672 ex rel. K.W HOUSTON, TEXAS		
4	VS		
5	HARRIS COUNTY DEPARTMENT OF . MARCH 12, 2021		
6	EDUCATION . 2:06 P.M. to 2:28 P.M.		
7			
8	TRANSCRIPT OF TELEPHONE CONFERENCE BEFORE THE HONORABLE ANDREW S. HANEN UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE		
9			
10			
11	APPEARANCES: (All participants appearing by phone)		
12	FOR THE PLAINTIFFS: YVONNILDA GARZA MUNIZ		
13	Law Office of Yvonnilda  Muniz PC		
14	P.O. Box 92018 Austin, Texas 78709		
15			
16	BRANDON DUKE RACHAEL THOMPSON		
17	Winston Strawn LLP 800 Capitol St		
18	Suite 2400 Houston, Texas 77002		
19	KYM ROGERS		
20	KATHRYN HOGAN Disability Rights Texas		
21	2222 W Braker Ln Austin, Texas 78758		
22			
23			
24			
25	Proceedings recorded by mechanical stenography, transcript produced by computer-aided transcription.		

Ī		
1	APPEARANCES	CONTINUED
2	FOR THE DEFENDANT:	MELISSA GOINS Karczewski Bradshaw Spalding 3700 Buffalo Speedway
3		Suite 560
4		Houston, Texas 77098
5		
6 7	OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER:	KATHY L. METZGER U.S. Courthouse 515 Rusk
8		Room 8004 Houston, Texas 77002
9		713-250-5208
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

## PROCEEDINGS

THE COURT: This is Judge Hanen, and we're on the record in Plumber versus Harris County, 20-cv-672. Who do I have on the line for the plaintiffs?

MS. MUNIZ: Yvonnilda Muniz.

14:06:25

14:06:43

14:07:11

14:07:33

14:07:55

THE COURT: All right. And who do I have on the line for the defendant?

MS. GOINS: Melissa Goins, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. Ms. Muniz, you had asked for kind of a status conference in connection with your proposed motion to withdraw and substitute in new counsel. Do you want to briefly describe it for the record?

MS. MUNIZ: Yes. On February 22nd I submitted a motion to substitute counsel. I spoke with Ms. Goins actually and she was not opposed to substitution of counsel, but she was opposed to the motion for a new scheduling order.

I have been ill and continue to have problems and just have not been able -- I have not been able to resolve my health issues, to the point where I feel at this point that it will hurt me representing my client and I did seek help and Disability Rights. I've got Kym Rogers, Brandon Duke -- well, Kym Rogers and Kathryn Hogan are from Disability Rights, and then Brandon Duke and Rachael Thompson are from -- and I just forgot the name of the law firm.

MR. DUKE: Winston and Strawn.

14:09:23

MS. MUNIZ: Winston, yes. I knew Winston. I just didn't remember the rest. And they have agreed to step in, but the only way they can do that is if there's an extension on the scheduling order.

THE COURT: All right. Ms. Goins, do you want to reply?

MS. GOINS: Yes, Your Honor. We, like Ms. Muniz said earlier, we do not oppose substituting counsel if she feels she's unable to continue to bring this lawsuit on behalf of her client. However, we do very much oppose restarting this matter on a different theory of litigation, which is what essentially is being proposed in conjunction with the substitution of counsel. If these agreed deadlines are all reset, it would be extremely prejudicial to my client. It would essentially be restarting the clock on this matter now more than a year after filing, which not only results in a time delay but substantial additional unnecessary litigation cost.

The potential impact on the judicial proceedings is again more delay. The new proposed substituted counsel seeks additional written discovery and at least five depositions. It is important to note that Ms. Muniz during the entirety of this litigation has not requested a single deposition from HCDE. After the expert report deadline was passed, our office did not receive any communication, written or otherwise, from Ms. Muniz in November or December or January

regarding any issues obtaining additional discovery or 1 14:09:28 resetting any other deadlines in this case. 2 3 4 5 14:09:42 6 7 8 deadlines had expired. 9 10 14:10:01 11 12 13 14 the agreed deadlines currently in place. 14:10:21 15 reply to that? 16 17 they're only asking for a 30-day delay. 18 19 20 MS. ROGERS: Yes. 14:10:34 21 to speak on behalf of us today. 22 23 24

14:10:56

The first time we've heard of that was when just prior to her filing her motion. And while we do understand that she presumably has no control over her health issues, she did have reasonable control over this docket and her case, if she needed discovery, securing extensions and all the while did not relay her current concerns until way after the discovery So, again, we ask this Court to keep the current scheduling docket control order in place and that if counsel is substituted, that they take this case as is and prepare for trial based on the evidence that Ms. Muniz has gathered within THE COURT: All right. Ms. Muniz, do you want to MS. MUNIZ: Yes. And I will defer to Kym Rogers. But, Ms. Rogers, do you want to speak to that? Rachael Thompson is actually going MS. THOMPSON: Rachael Thompson. And, yes, we do request a little bit of extra time to extend some of these deadlines, but it's basically just to focus the issues and the

legal claims that are in the complaint to better represent the

14:12:44

client. In order for us to properly represent the client as we come in at this point, we need a little more time, because we feel it wouldn't be fair to the client otherwise, based on what we have. And, yes, discovery has been done and we recognize that, but there are still some things missing from it and -
THE COURT: What do you need to do?

MS. THOMPSON: Well, we need a few depositions. The objections that were raised have not been discussed. And there's a couple issues regarding written policies that we have not seen that we would like to request, and just a few very, very targeted issues. And we'll use the discovery that's been done. There's just a few things that we need.

And we recognize that no depositions have been taken, and that's one of the reasons that we feel we need to do a few depositions as well in order to fully represent the client at this point.

MS. GOINS: Your Honor, this is Ms. Goins, if I may briefly respond to that.

THE COURT: Go ahead.

MS. GOINS: HCDE believes that, you know, it's not just -- you know, counsel indicates, well, this is a short extension of time. But if you look at the actual request, they're asking to have all deadlines reset. And these include deadlines that have well been passed. They have asked for a deadline establishing the ability to amend the complaint, new

deadlines for expert reports and new discovery deadlines. 1 14:12:48 discovery deadline in this case as currently stands expired on 2 3 January 19th. So this request came even a month after that deadline expired. So while it's targeted as a short delay, 4 they're asking to go back and set and establish new deadlines 14:13:06 5 for things that have passed well into last year. 6 7 THE COURT: All right. Well, I'm not going to redo the whole scheduling order. I am going to allow counsel, if 8 they wish, to come in and do some discovery, but we're not 9 resetting all these deadlines, especially the ones that we have 10 14:13:35 already gone by. And that's one of the reasons I wanted to go 11 ahead and have this hearing, because I wanted to hear what 12 needed to be done. 13 Ms. Thompson, what time frame do you think you 14 15 could get your discovery done in? 14:14:00 MS. THOMPSON: Well, we were planning -- we had said 16 17 that we could definitely meet this expert report deadline that had been put out there on April 15 --18 19 THE COURT: Well, I'm --MS. THOMPSON: -- and, again, June 1st was the idea, 20 14:14:17 21 but I think we're amenable to discussing changing that. Brandon, do you have --22 MR. DUKE: Right. Your Honor, this is Brandon. 23 24 can just address that briefly. THE COURT: Go ahead. 14:14:38

14:16:24

MR. DUKE: So, we proposed a schedule with some key dates. And just to clarify, we asked for leave to amend the complaint as opposed to you granting now the option to amend the complaint. But we do think that some amendments are -- would be helpful for both parties going to streamline and focus the case, in part potentially removing some claims and refocusing some of the other ones so that they're more keyed on what we think this case should be about. But, again, we need to confer with our, I guess, putative client about that.

And then as far as discovery, the date that we have proposed is June 1st, which I think is not a significant delay in this case and having that set for a docket call in October, which seems like a realistic timeline for trial as the proceedings go, essentially in light of the fact that this case was filed right before COVID and, you know, over the last year I don't think much has actually been done in the case. And from what I can hear from the other side, again, to somewhat address your question, their position seems to be that they're prejudiced by having to do some litigation in the case. I get that deadlines are passed, but as far as a forward-looking issue, I think that we can get discovery done in the next few months so that the case can be better prepared for trial.

THE COURT: Tell me what the damages are in this case. And, Ms. Muniz, you may be the person best, what the alleged damages are, to talk to this.

You mean -- I'm not understanding. 1 MS. MUNIZ: 14:16:28 Money-wise, monetary damages? 2 THE COURT: Well, what happened to the kid? 3 MS. MUNIZ: His collarbone was broken. He also --4 5 when he was restrained, his collarbone was broken when he hit 14:16:41 the floor and he also hit his head on a table and he's had 6 seizures since then. He's also been suffering from migraines. 7 8 THE COURT: Okay. Well, Ms. Thompson or Mr. Duke, tell me what you -- how you would try this case. 9 I mean, I entered an order in October saying that I wasn't going to allow 14:17:12 10 any further extension on either answering discovery or experts 11 because --12 Yes, Your Honor. 13 MS. THOMPSON: THE COURT: -- we had extended this before and now 14 14:17:33 15 you're asking me to do it in the face of that order. 16 MS. THOMPSON: Yes, Your Honor. Ms. Thompson here. 17 Yes, we realize that this is a big ask and we are not here to 18 talk about the past. We recognize that Ms. Muniz has been ill and the pandemic has made things a lot more difficult to 19 litigate these cases. But, you know, somebody should be able 20 14:18:01 to represent plaintiffs in this case and litigate it for them. 21 THE COURT: Well, I'm willing to let you represent 22 them, but what I have is like a conditional motion, you know. 23 24 I'm even considering allowing you to do some discovery, but I'm not allow -- I'm not leaning toward allowing you to replow old 14:18:30

deadlines that the Court instituted twice.

MS. GOINS: Your Honor, this is Ms. Goins, if I may. There has been discovery done and conducted on this case. So much so that HCDE in compliance with the current deadlines has briefed and filed an MSJ with the Court for review based on the discovery that's been conducted within the agreed to and current deadlines. So despite the fact that there is an indication that there hasn't been a lot done, there has been and it's supported and demonstrated in our motion.

MR. DUKE: Your Honor, this is Brandon Duke. If I could --

THE COURT: Go ahead, Mr. Duke.

MR. DUKE: -- as to your original question, so as to the conditional nature of the motion, the purpose of kind of how it was set up is that originally when DRTX was made aware of this case, they felt that plaintiffs' claim had merit, but because of the current posture of the case and the limited resources that DRTX has, it couldn't take on the case in the current posture. And so that's why it asked for additional time and then we, as pro bono counsel with them, had asked for additional time.

And we recognize that you seem to be willing to give some additional discovery, which we think would be helpful in order to actually have a case to present at a trial, because I think currently how it stands, we couldn't fully represent

14:18:58

14:18:36

14:19:21 10

14:19:32 15

14:19:56 20

14:20:10 2

our client properly without some additional discovery and the ability to refine the case.

14:20:26

14:20:43

14:21:03

14:21:35

14:22:03

And as to the motion for summary judgment, I think that raises some of the concern is that if we are involved, we would get rid of some of the issues that are raised in the summary judgment, which effectively moots a decent amount of it. Obviously some things will still stand and we can address those as far as timing, if it makes sense to address those now versus shortly after, if we're allowed the opportunity to amend, but I think those are things that we can address later. I just think that that goes to the current posture of the case, not being in the right spot and just having a little bit of additional time in discovery in order to best prepare the case for trial on behalf of -- you know, to protect the plaintiffs' interests in this case.

what the Court's willing to do, and I guess this is the order or the -- what I would order orally to do, is I would let you substitute in and let you do a minimal amount of discovery between now and June 30th and then I would allow Ms. Goins till July 1st to do any additional discovery that she wanted to do. I would bump the trial, you know, a month or two to accommodate that.

What I'm not willing to do is to revisit expert deadlines, because I've given the plaintiffs multiple chances

14:22:09

14:22:34

14:22:50 10

14:23:04 15

14:23:31 20

14:23:48 25

to comply with that.

MR. DUKE: Judge, this is Brandon Duke again.

Obviously if that's what we can get, that's what we'll take, and I can confer with our group to make sure that that's fine.

I'm not exactly sure what the status of the expert discovery was. I do know that we had requested the opportunity to at least designate one or two; but if we have to stand with what's there, that's what we have to do.

THE COURT: All right. Well, that's what I would like y'all to do. With that understanding, Ms. Goins, I know you're -- to the extent you're objecting to that, I'll note it and overrule it.

MS. GOINS: Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: I will allow the motion to substitute. I think -- and I don't know whether it would be Ms. Thompson or Mr. Duke or whoever is going to take the lead from your standpoint, need to get with Ms. Goins. Pick a trial date. October would be fine. November would be fine. I mean, you know, make it easy on yourself, that y'all agree on. Give yourself -- give the plaintiffs, as I said, till June 1st, I think that's what you were asking for, to do any additional discovery you think you need to do. Then, Ms. Goins, if you need to do any additional discovery, I'll give you till -- the month of July to do that.

MS. GOINS: Yes, sir.

1 14:23:51 2 parameters. 3 4 5 14:24:11 6 7 8 the plaintiffs? This is Brandon Duke. 9 MR. DUKE: title. 10 14:24:33 11 THE COURT: Okay. MR. DUKE: And one thing just for clarification. 12 13 14 14:24:50 15 the table? 16 17 18 19 refining --20 14:25:08 21 MR. DUKE: Okay. 22 23 24 25 14:25:29

THE COURT: So propose an order to me with those There is a pending motion for summary judgment. will put off consideration of that till April 30th. So that gives y'all about 45 days to file a response -- for the plaintiffs to file a response to that. And with that, who is going to take -- who's going to be the lead counsel? Because that's what I need to know. Who's the attorney in charge for

I'll take that

Obviously we can have a pre-hearing motion on that -- or a pre-motion conference on that. But would you be amenable to a motion for leave to file an amended complaint or is that off

THE COURT: You can file an amended complaint, because I think, quite frankly, some of the -- I've looked at the complaint that's on file now and it definitely needs

THE COURT: -- and narrowing. So I will allow you to do -- let's -- let me think about this. You can file an amended complaint if you do it by the end of this month. And then, Ms. Goins, you can respond to that by April 15th.

14:25:37

1

2

3

5

14:26:03

6

8

7

14:26:34 10

11

12

13

14

15

14:26:55

16

18

17

19

14:27:14 20

22

23

21

24

4.27.42 25

MS. GOINS: Okay.

THE COURT: And if you're satisfied with your current what's on file motion for summary judgment, Ms. Goins, you can leave it and the plaintiffs will respond to it by April 30th. If you're not satisfied with it given the amended complaint, you can file a new motion for summary judgment if you do so by May 15th and then we'll -- the plaintiffs can just respond according to the rules at that point.

MS. GOINS: Understood, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. If you -- with those different parameters, if you guys would agree on an order and send it to me, I'll sign it and enter it.

MR. DUKE: We can do that, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. And just so you know, when we get to the final pretrial date, I'll add it to your order. But you guys pick a week that you think works good for both sides and I'll just kind of add the final pretrial order date and the final pretrial date once I see your -- what date you've chosen for trial.

And what I do for -- in the final pretrial conference is I admit all the exhibits. I get everything done, so when the jury comes in, we don't waste any time. We go right to voir dire. So that's just a heads-up. All of that is in my local rules, but we'll get everything hopefully off the table in the final pretrial conference so whatever date y'all

```
pick, we actually just start with voir dire.
          1
14:27:49
          2
                                    Anything else we can resolve today?
                       MR. DUKE: Nothing from plaintiffs.
          3
                       MS. GOINS: Nothing from defendant.
          4
          5
                       THE COURT: All right. Thank y'all.
14:28:04
          6
                       MR. DUKE:
                                  Thank you.
          7
                       MS. GOINS:
                                   Thank you.
          8
                  (Concluded at 2:28 p.m.)
          9
             I certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript from the
         10
             record of proceedings in the above-entitled cause, to the best
         11
             of my ability.
         12
         13
                                                             3–16–2021
             /s/ Kathy L. Metzger
         14
             Kathy L. Metzger
         15
             Official Court Reporter
         16
         17
         18
         19
         20
         21
         22
         23
         24
         25
```